

# **Great Lakes Cooperative Monitoring Program**

## ***Fish Contaminant Monitoring***

### **Inter-Laboratory Comparison Study Survey Results**

- **Inter-agency Fish Contaminant Study Draft Project Overview**
- Blank Survey
- Summary of Survey Responses Table
- Participating Laboratory Survey Responses
  - MDEQ
  - Environment Canada
  - GLIFWC
  - State of Illinois
  - State of Indiana
  - CORA – ITFAP
  - State of Minnesota
  - State of Ohio
  - Ministry of the Environment
  - State of Pennsylvania
  - State of Wisconsin
  - State of New York
  - EPA - GLNPO

**Great Lakes Cooperative Monitoring Program**  
***Fish Contaminant Monitoring***

**Inter-agency Fish Contaminant Study Draft Project Overview**

The Binational Executive Committee (BEC) has endorsed Cooperative Monitoring as a mechanism to improve the binational coordination of monitoring, and as a means to address LaMP key information needs. According to an established rotational cycle, Lake Superior is the focus of Cooperative Monitoring for 2005 and 2006. One of the key information needs identified by the Lake Superior LaMP Work Group is to determine the potential causes for within year differences in fish contaminant results reported by the various agencies implementing periodic monitoring programs. As a way to address this issue, a three-phase plan is being proposed by the Cooperative Monitoring Steering Committee and would involve the following stakeholders:

**Stakeholders:**

Environment Canada

EPA/GLNPO

Ontario Ministry of the Environment

State of Michigan

State of Minnesota

State of Wisconsin

State of Illinois

State of Indiana

State of Ohio

State of New York

State of Pennsylvania

GLIFWIC

CORA

MCT

**Phase 1**

A survey will be sent to each stakeholder to identify the current protocols for collection and analysis of fish tissue used by each agency. Summary results will be disseminated and shared as a way to describe differences in techniques among participating agencies.

The survey will be comprised of the following questions:

1. Where and when are fish collected and at what frequency? (i.e open lake, river mouth/ annually each spring, fall etc.)
2. What are the 3 most common fish species collected at the above sites?
3. What is the preferred type of tissue sample used for analysis (skinless dorsal muscle, skin-on dorsal or ventral muscle, cross-section etc.)?
4. What biological variables are measured on each fish (weight, length, age, sex etc.)?
5. Are samples analyzed as individuals or composites?
6. If samples are analyzed as composites, explain criteria used to create composite (i.e. # of fish per sample, sorted by length, sorted by weight, size range or all one size etc.)?
7. Define other biological variables measured on fish tissue samples (% lipid, % moisture etc.)?

8. Briefly describe or reference the analytical methods used to analyze organic chemicals & metals (simple descriptions for both – i.e. GC/ECD, MSD, AA, ICAP etc.) and provide method detection limits for each analyte analyzed for.
9. Describe how data are maintained (MS compatible database)?

Results will be disseminated among participants, and discussions held to identify differences in protocols that may potentially result in differences in reported results.

### **Phase 2**

A series of uniform injectable fish tissue extracts will be purchased and supplied to all stakeholders. Each stakeholder laboratory will be asked to analyze the 3 samples and submit the results in order to describe differences between analytical facilities. Results will be shared with all stakeholders and discussion will focus on identifying differences in analytical technique at the instrument level that may potentially result in differences in reported results.

### **Phase 3**

Three uniform Great Lakes whole fish homogenate standards will be purchased/created and supplied to all stakeholders. Each stakeholder laboratory will be asked to analyze the standard samples according to their own their preferred method, including spikes etc., and submit results in order to determine differences between analytical methods. Results will be shared with all stakeholders. The purpose of this phase of the study is to assess the differences in results arising from the laboratory workup and preparation of samples.

## **Timeline** **Multi-Agency Intercomparison Study**

<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Phase I</b>	<b>Phase II</b>	<b>Phase III</b>
Month 1	Participants review proposed plan and finalize it during a conference call		
Month 2	GLNPO sends out survey to stakeholders		
Month 3	Responses, including recent data, submitted to GLNPO		
Month 5	Summary distributed to all participants and conference call scheduled to discuss		
Month 6		Meeting Plan Phase II	
Month 7		Standards distributed to all stakeholders	
Month 7		Analyse Stds.	
Month 9		Submit results to GLNPO	
Month 11		Summary results distributed to all participants	
Month 12		Meeting to review Phase II results and to plan Phase III	
Month 13			Prepare and ship fish homogenate

<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Phase I</b>	<b>Phase II</b>	<b>PhaseIII</b>
			sub-samples to all stakeholder laboratories
Month 15			Laboratory preparation and analysis
Month 16			Submit results to GLNPO
Month 18			Summary results distributed to all participants
Month 15			Meeting to review Phase III and prepare summary report for LSWG

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### **Background and Instructions:**

The intent of this questionnaire is to learn more about the current protocols for collection and analysis of fish tissue used by your Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program (FCMP) and techniques used by your agency to determine spatial and temporal trends of contaminants in fish from all Great Lakes. This survey builds upon an MDEQ survey sent around in previous years. Please fill out the survey or update your previous responses. Summary results will be disseminated and shared as a way to describe differences in techniques among participating agencies. The questionnaire is divided into four parts:

- I. Program Description
- II. Program Logistics
- III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends
- IV. Conclusion and Summaries

In some cases, the FCMPs include several elements with different goals and designs (e.g., edible portion, young-of-year (YOY), or whole adult fish). If your program has multiple elements, then it would be helpful to the Interlaboratory Comparison Study if the questions were answered separately for each element. We have provided three copies of the questionnaire for this purpose. We have also provided the entire questionnaire and your previous answers on CD should you wish to respond electronically. Please feel free to append reports or other written materials when you feel that they will answer questions posed below.

Please send survey responses, reports, or other written materials to Beth Murphy at:

[murphy.elizabeth@epa.gov](mailto:murphy.elizabeth@epa.gov)

Or

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Great Lakes National Program Office  
MC 17J  
77 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, IL 60604

If you would like additional information about the questionnaire or the project, please contact Beth. Murphy at 312-353-4227 or Michael Whittle at 905-336-4565. We would appreciate receiving your responses by February 1, 2006. . Thank you in advance for your assistance.

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

Please attach a description of each element to this questionnaire. Additionally, if your program includes different elements, please answer questions 2 through 25 for each element.

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? \_\_\_\_\_

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period?    ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If you answered yes, when did these changes occur, what were these changes, and what efforts, if any, were made to make previous data compatible with past? As an example, we have included the following information from MDEQ's whole-fish trend monitoring program, but feel free to follow a different format if that works better for your program.

<b>FCMP Element</b>	<b>Date (Initiation or Change)</b>	<b>Description (brief description of program or change)</b>
Whole fish trend monitoring	2001	Added Hg to GLFMP's routine analyte list.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Great Lakes
- ☐ Great Lakes connecting channels
- ☐ Inland rivers
- ☐ Inland lakes
- ☐ Reservoirs or impoundments
- ☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Every year
- ☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)
- ☐ Based on a randomized design
- ☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☐ Weight  
☐ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☐ Lipid level or fat content  
☐ Other (please describe)?

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole fish  
☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)

☐ Other? (please elaborate)



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

\_\_\_\_\_ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

\_\_\_\_\_ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- \_\_\_\_\_ species
- \_\_\_\_\_ age
- \_\_\_\_\_ length
- \_\_\_\_\_ weight
- \_\_\_\_\_ collection date
- \_\_\_\_\_ sample volume
- \_\_\_\_\_ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
_____ PCBs		
_____ Arochlors		
_____ Congeners		
_____ $\Sigma$ DDT		
_____ o,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDE		
_____ p,p' DDD (TDE)		
_____ Mirex		
_____ Photomirex		
_____ $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
_____ $\alpha$ -chlordane		
_____ $\gamma$ -chlordane		
_____ cis-nonachlor		
_____ trans-nonachlor		
_____ oxychlordane		
_____ Toxaphene		
_____ Aldrin		
_____ Dieldrin		
_____ Endrin		
_____ Octachlorostyrene		
_____ Heptachlor epoxide		
_____ BHC		
_____ $\alpha$ BHC		
_____ $\delta$ BHC		
_____ $\gamma$ BHC		
_____ Other Chemicals? Please list.		

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☐ Fish collected from the same location  
☐ Same species of fish collected  
☐ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☐ Same collection time  
☐ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.
17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.
18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

**Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Contaminant  
Trend Monitoring Program *Caged Fish***

**Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire**

Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☒ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 16 years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling**     None Stated

**Analytical**     In 2000, switched from polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) Aroclors<sup>®</sup> to PCB congener analyses. The results of congener and Aroclor<sup>®</sup> analyses were compared in 450 samples in order to ensure method comparability.

### Summary of survey responses

	MDEQ Caged Fish	MDEQ fillets	MDEQ Whole Fish	Canadian DFO	GLIFWC FCMP	Illinois FCMP	Indiana FCMP	ITFAP FCMP	Minnesota FCMP	Ohio FCMP	Ontario FCMP	PA FCMP	Wisconsin DNR	NY YOY FCMP	NY LOCTS	GLFMP whole fish	GLFMP sport fish
<b>Type of Monitoring?</b>																	
Whole adult fish			X	X			X						X			X	
YOY											X		X	X			
Caged fish	X												X				
Edible portion		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X
Other												X	X				
<b>Explicit Goals?</b>																	
Data for consumption advisories		X			X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X		
Evaluation of trends	X	X	X	X	X		X		X		X		X	X	X	X	
Evaluation of Effectiveness	X	X	X	X			X		X	X	X		X	X	X		
Evaluation of env. quality		X			X	X	X			X	X		X	X	X		
Other					X			X									X
<b>Duration of Program (years)?</b>	16	30	11	28	16	32	28	15	15	22 formerly 13 informally	30	27	35	22	30	30	26
<b>Any Changes to Protocol?</b>	X	X	X	X	No	X	X	X	X	No	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sampling			X			X	X					X	X	X		X	X
Analytical	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
Other		X				X	X										
<b>What Type of Systems?</b>																	
Great Lakes		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Connecting Channels		X	X				X				X			X			
Inland rivers	X	X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X				
Inland lakes	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X				
reservoirs/ impoundments	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X				
Other												X	X				X
<b>How Frequently Sampled?</b>																	
Every year				X												X	X
Fixed intervals			X		X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X			
Randomized design							X										
Case by case	X	X			X	X				X		X	X		X		
Other						X			X	X	X	X	X		X		

[illegible]



	MDEQ Caged Fish	MDEQ fillets	MDEQ Whole Fish	Canadian DFO	GLIFWC FCMP	Illinois FCMP	Indiana FCMP	ITFAP FCMP	Minnesota FCMP	Ohio FCMP	Ontario FCMP	PA FCMP	Wisconsin DNR	NY YOY FCMP	NY LOCTS	GLFMP whole fish	GLFMP sport fish
<b>What Tissue?</b>																	
Whole fish	X		X	X			X		X	X	X		X	X		X	
Untrimmed fillet with skins		X			X	X	X		X	X		X	X		X		X
Trimmed fillet with skins					X												
Untrimmed skinless fillets					X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X				
Trimmed skinless fillets		X			X												
Dorsal plugs											X						
Other		X			X	X	X					X	X		X		
<b>How Are Samples Analyzed?</b>																	
Individual fish/ tissue analyzed		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X		X		
Composite sample fish/tissue analyzed	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
Species	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	
Age	X			X	X				X		X			X			
Length		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X
Weight									X	X	X						
Collection date				X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
Sample volume	X	X												X			
Other										X							
<b>Analytes Measured?</b>																	
PCBs (aroclor)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
PCBs (congeners)	X	X	X	X				X			X		X	X		X	X
ΣDDT					X	X		X			X					X	X
o,p' DDT					X		X	X			X	X	X			X	X
p,p' DDT	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
p,p' DDE	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
p,p' DDD (TDE)	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Mirex	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Photomirex								X			X	X		X	X		
Σ Chlordane	X	X	X	X	X	X		X			X					X	X
α- chlordane	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
γ -chlordane	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
cis-nonachlor	X	X	X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
trans-nonachlor	X	X	X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

	MDEQ Caged Fish	MDEQ fillets	MDEQ Whole Fish	Canadian DFO	GLIFWC FCMP	Illinois FCMP	Indiana FCMP	ITFAP FCMP	Minnesota FCMP	Ohio FCMP	Ontario FCMP	PA FCMP	Wisconsin DNR	NY YOY FCMP	NY LOCTS	GLFMP whole fish	GLFMP sport fish
oxychlordane	X	X	X		X		X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Toxaphene	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X					X	X
Aldrin	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Dieldrin	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X
Endrin				X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X
Octachlorostyrene	X	X	X					X			X					X	X
Heptachlor epoxide	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
$\alpha$ BHC					X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
$\delta$ BHC					X		X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X
$\gamma$ BHC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X		
Mercury	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Other Chemicals	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Minimum amount of homogenate needed for analysis?</b>	40 g	40 g	40 g	10g	20g/organics, 0.2g/Hg	30 g	30 g	5 g		150g	Contaminant Specific	10 g	25 g organic and 10 g inorganic	2 g	10 g (PCB / OC), 1g (Hg)	20 g	20 g
<b>Methods to Control for Confounding Factors?</b>						None											
Fish collected from the same location	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Same species of fish collected	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Same size of fish collected	X		X		X		X	X	X	X	X		X			X	X
Same age of fish collected	X			X							X			X	X		
Same sex of fish collected											X						
Same collection time	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X
Lipid normalization	X		X					X			X			X	X	X	X
Other (please elaborate)	X								X								
<b>Other Data Collected?</b>	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	X	None	None	None	X	None	None	None	None
pH													X				
Organic carbon																	
TOC																	
Other									X				X				

	MDEQ Caged Fish	MDEQ fillets	MDEQ Whole Fish	Canadian DFO	GLIFWC FCMP	Illinois FCMP	Indiana FCMP	ITFAP FCMP	Minnesota FCMP	Ohio FCMP	Ontario FCMP	PA FCMP	Wisconsin DNR	NY YOY FCMP	NY LOCTS	GLFMP whole fish	GLFMP sport fish
<b>Chemicals Collected in Other Media?</b>	X	X	X	X		X	X	None	X	X	X		X	X		X	X
Water column	X	X	X			X			X								
Sediments	X	X	X			X	X		X	X							
Prey fish											X						
Other	X			X									X			X	X
<b>Archive Tissue Extracts?</b>	No	X	X	X	X	X	No	X	X	No	No	No	X	No	No	X	X
<b>Analyses of Trends</b>	X	X	X	X	X	NA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
t-Test	X						X										
Regression		X	X		X						X	X					
ANCOVA		X															
Other				X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Transformation of data			X		X												
Type of transformation													X				

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design \*  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)

All fish are YOY

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (GLNPO)	<input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

All Young-of-the-year channel catfish

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish
- ☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled species)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets (smooth skinned species)
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☐ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed? Both

- ☐ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☒ age
- ☐ length
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification</u>
<u>Level (ug/kg)</u>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors (spelled aroclor)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners (special cases)		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

Hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorostyrene, heptachlorostyrene, pentachlorostyrene, heptachlor, terphenyl, PBB (FF-1, BP-6)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Methods:

PCBs (Aroclors<sup>®</sup>), DDT, DDE, DDD,  $\alpha$ -chlordane,  $\gamma$ -chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor oxychlordane, toxaphene, dieldrin/endrin, BHC - Packed column gas chromatography and a method developed by MDCH staff (Price et al. 1986)

PCB (congeners) - Gas chromatograph with electron capture device and a modified version of the Mullin et al. (1984) method

Mercury - Atomic absorption spectrophotometer

### Quantification Limits:

Terphenyl, mirex & PBB – 5.0 ppb

Toxaphene – 50.0 ppm

Dioxin/furan congeners – vary from 1 to 10 ppt

PCB congeners – vary from 0.2 to 1.0 ppb

All others – 1.0 ppb

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Each sample has a minimum total weight of 40 g but is ideally 100g, which provides the ability to analyze duplicates.

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply) It depends on what contaminants you are evaluating and how extensive your data set is.

☒ Fish collected from the same location

☒ Same species of fish collected

☒ Same size of fish collected

☒ Same age of fish collected

☐ Same sex of fish collected

☒ Same collection time

☒ Lipid normalization

☐ Others? (please elaborate)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

None

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

PTS in eagle blood

Great Lakes Herring gull monitoring program

PTS in air, groundwater, sediment, water, and biota as part of regulatory program clean ups

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Caged-fish samples are not archived.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

t-Test (temporal and spatial trends)

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?



## Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Contaminant Trend Monitoring Program *Fillets*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 30 years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** None Stated

**Analytical** In 1987, switched labs due to concerns about quality assurance at lab used prior to 1987. In 2000, switched from PCB Aroclors<sup>®</sup> to PCB congener analyses. The results of congener and Aroclor<sup>®</sup> analyses were compared in 450 samples in order to ensure method comparability.

**Other** Data collected since 1980 are entered in an electronic database.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☒ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design \*  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☒ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☒ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (GLNPO)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

white sucker, bluegill, yellow perch, splake, black crappie, lake sturgeon, rock bass, black bullhead, brown bullhead, sunfish, brook trout, freshwater drum, redhorse sucker, Unionidae, lake herring, muskellunge, gizzard shad, chub, longnose sucker, burbot, tiger muskie, minnow, grass pickerel

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Whole fish
- ☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled species)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets (smooth skinned species)
- ☒ Trimmed skinless fillets (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☒ Other? (please elaborate)

Sturgeon: skin off steak

Smelt: headless gutless

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed? Both

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.  
Chinook/ coho salmon and small fish

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☐ age
- ☒ length
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☒ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification</u>
<u>Level (ug/kg)</u>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors (spelled aroclor)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners (special cases)		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

Hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorostyrene, heptachlorostyrene, pentachlorostyrene, heptachlor, terphenyl, PBB (FF-1, BP-6)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Methods:

PCBs (Aroclors<sup>®</sup>), DDT, DDE, DDD,  $\alpha$ -chlordane,  $\gamma$ -chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor oxychlordane, toxaphene, dieldrin/endrin, BHC - Packed column gas chromatography and a method developed by MDCH staff (Price et al. 1986)

PCB (congeners) - Gas chromatograph with electron capture device and a modified version of the Mullin et al. (1984) method

Mercury - Atomic absorption spectrophotometer

### Quantification Limits:

Terphenyl, mirex & PBB – 5.0 ppb

Toxaphene – 50.0 ppm

Dioxin/furan congeners – vary from 1 to 10 ppt

PCB congeners – vary from 0.2 to 1.0 ppb

All others – 1.0 ppb

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Each sample has a minimum total weight of 40 g but is ideally 100g, which provides the ability to analyze duplicates.

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply) It depends on what contaminants you are evaluating and how extensive your data set is.

☒ Fish collected from the same location

☒ Same species of fish collected

☐ Same size of fish collected

☐ Same age of fish collected

☐ Same sex of fish collected

☒ Same collection time

☐ Lipid normalization

☐ Others? (please elaborate)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

None

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Water column  
Sediments

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes – Some (e.g. Isle Royal Lake Trout)

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Regression – Estimate concentration vs. length  
ANCOVA – Spatial trends

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

We are considering developing a randomized sampling design for inland lakes, incorporating limnological factors, to allow extrapolation of results over broader areas.

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

## Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Contaminant Trend Monitoring Program *Whole Fish*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 11 years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** In 1990, initiated fixed station whole fish trend monitoring program at 27 sites. In 1996, dropped one station because there was difficulty in collection samples.

**Analytical** In 2000, switched from PCB Aroclors<sup>®</sup> to PCB congener analyses. The results of congener and Aroclor<sup>®</sup> analyses were compared in 450 samples in order to ensure method comparability.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☒ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design \*  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Every 2 years

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☒ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☒ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☐ Other (please describe)

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (GLNPO)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish
- ☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled species)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets (smooth skinned species)
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☐ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed? Both

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☐ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ species
- ☐ age
- ☐ length
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification</u>
<u>Level (ug/kg)</u>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors (spelled aroclor)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners (special cases)		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

Hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorostyrene, heptachlorostyrene, pentachlorostyrene, heptachlor, terphenyl, PBB (FF-1, BP-6)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Methods:

PCBs (Aroclors<sup>®</sup>), DDT, DDE, DDD,  $\alpha$ -chlordane,  $\gamma$ -chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor oxychlordane, toxaphene, dieldrin/endrin, BHC - Packed column gas chromatography and a method developed by MDCH staff (Price et al. 1986)

PCB (congeners) - Gas chromatograph with electron capture device and a modified version of the Mullin et al. (1984) method

Mercury - Atomic absorption spectrophotometer

### Quantification Limits:

Terphenyl, mirex & PBB – 5.0 ppb

Toxaphene – 50.0 ppm

Dioxin/furan congeners – vary from 1 to 10 ppt

PCB congeners – vary from 0.2 to 1.0 ppb

All others – 1.0 ppb

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Each sample has a minimum total weight of 40 g but is ideally 100g, which provides the ability to analyze duplicates.

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply) It depends on what contaminants you are evaluating and how extensive your data set is.

☒ Fish collected from the same location

☒ Same species of fish collected

☒ Same size of fish collected

☐ Same age of fish collected

☐ Same sex of fish collected

☒ Same collection time

☒ Lipid normalization

☐ Others? (please elaborate)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

None

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Water column  
Sediments

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, since 1996

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Regression – temporal trends  
Transformation of data – logs of wet weight

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

The Michigan Whole Fish trend program has worked well for detecting trends at given sampling stations, however it was not designed to detect trends over wide areas.

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

## Canadian Department of the Environment

### Fish Contaminant Trend Monitoring Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 28+ years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** None stated

**Analytical** Laboratory analytical procedures have been modified over the past 28 years. An inter-comparison of data generated by various analytical methods has been made by the reanalysis of samples used to generate "historical data" (i.e., comparison of total PCB measurements via pack column vs. capillary column GC methods) (see Huestis et al. 1996).

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☐ Inland rivers  
☐ Inland lakes  
☐ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Every year
- ☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)
- ☐ Based on a randomized design
- ☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)
- ☐ Other (please describe)

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Total length
- ☐ Standard length
- ☒ Fork length
- ☒ Weight
- ☒ Age
- ☒ Gender
- ☐ Reproductive condition
- ☒ Lipid level or fat content
- ☒ Other

Lamprey Scarring

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout | <input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout    | <input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon           | <input type="checkbox"/> White perch        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout         | <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carp                  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch          |   |

Sculpin and invertebrates

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish
- ☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

\_\_\_\_\_ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

  X   Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

Top predator species

  X   Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

Forage fish species

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- X   species
- X   age
- X   length
- weight
- X   collection date
- sample volume
- Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aroclors		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals?		

Mercury, dioxin / furans, As, Cu, Cd, Pb, Ni, Se, Zn



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

10 g

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☐ Same size of fish collected  
☒ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☐ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

None

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Yes, food web samples.

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, large bank of frozen samples and solvent extracts

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Other, Huestis et al. (1996)  
Huestis et al. (1997)  
Borgmann and Whittle (1991b)  
Borgmann and Whittle (1992b)  
Martin et al (2004)  
SOLEC (2004)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

None

Martin, J.W., D. M. Whittle, D.C.G. Muir & S.A. Mabury. 2004 Perfluoroalkyl Contaminants in the Lake Ontario Food Web. Environ. Sci. Technol. V 38, No 20 (5379-5385)

Whittle, D.M., M.J. Keir, J. F. Gorrie & E. Murphy. 2004. State of the Lakes Indicators Report: SOLEC Indicator #121 – Contaminants in Whole Fish pp 128-138.  
<http://www.solecregistration.ca/en/reports/default.asp>

## Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission

### Fish Contaminant Trend Monitoring Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☒ Others: Please elaborate

The Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC) has responsibilities to manage and protect off-reservation treaty rights for its member tribes within the geographic area covered by the 1836, 1837, 1842, and 1854 treaties. GLIFWC has developed a FCMP as a result of tribal representatives expressing concern about the health risk that mercury and other contaminants in fish poses to tribal members. The goals of the program include: protecting tribal health, informing tribal members of the risks associated with contaminants present in fish, providing data that shows whether fish products being sold by tribal commercial fisherman are meeting U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) chemical concentration limits for the commercial sale of fish, providing data that can be used by other agencies and jurisdictions managing Lake Superior, and providing data that may be useful in studying temporal trends in contaminants.

Since 1989, GLIFWC has monitored mercury in skin-off fillets of walleye. Inland lake monitoring (ILM) of muskellunge skin-off fillets for mercury began in 1999, however, muskellunge have not been monitored since 2003. The number of lakes sampled each year depends on available funding. Priority is given to GLIFWC long-term study lakes and the top 12 tribally harvested lakes. The goal is to sample these lakes on an annual or bi-annual basis. Other lakes are prioritized for sampling based on their tribal harvest ranking, insufficient sample size, or the age of the data. Within each lake, the goal is to collect three walleye

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

within each of four size groups for a total of twelve fish. If muskellunge are collected, the goal is to collect three fish within each of three size groups for a total of nine fish per lake. Data collected through the ILM are shared with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MI DEQ), and the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH), and are used by GLIFWC to prepare color-coded GIS maps that alert tribal members to mercury concentrations in walleye from ceded territory lakes. The maps also allow tribal members to reduce their risk of mercury exposure by choosing lakes with walleye lower in mercury. These data are also being used to analyze spatial and temporal trends in mercury concentrations in ceded territory lakes.

In addition to the ILM, there was a detailed study of the Lake Superior commercial harvest in 1999–2000, along with detailed studies of lake trout (2003) and whitefish (2004). For these studies, sample sites were selected by their relative importance to the tribal harvest. Fish were collected within narrow size ranges that spanned the size ranges of fish normally harvested by tribal commercial fisherman. Fish were then composited by size and age. Resulting samples were analyzed for total mercury, along with a suite of chlorinated organic compounds described in number 11 below. Results from these studies allow GLIFWC and tribal commercial fisherman to assess whether fish that are being harvested and sold are meeting FDA limits for chemical concentrations in fish tissue, provide data for use by other agencies and jurisdictions managing Lake Superior (including the Lake Superior Binational Program), and provide baseline data for the future study of temporal trends of contaminant concentrations in Lake Superior fish.

3. How long has your program been in existence? 16 years
4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? Yes X No
5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)
  - X Great Lakes
  - Great Lakes connecting channels
  - Inland rivers
  - X Inland lakes
  - X Reservoirs or impoundments
  - Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Please see program description in number 2.

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☒ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)?

\* Round weight or whole weight of Lake Superior fish is measured at the time of collection. Whole weight for ILM walleye and muskellunge is a "frozen" weight measured after the fish is thawed in the lab and ready for processing into fillets.

\*\* We collect percent lipid from our Lake Superior fish samples, but not from our ILM walleye or muskellunge samples.

Other – We also collect weight of the skin-off fillet for ILM walleye samples and "frozen" length of walleye. "Frozen" length is taken after the fish has been thawed, prior to processing.

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout          | <input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Siscowet Lake Trout | <input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon                 | <input type="checkbox"/> White perch                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Smelt                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Alewife                         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye             | <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carp                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list) |

Other – We've collected Lake Superior whitefish, herring, and some sturgeon data. Occasionally we collect muskellunge and rarely northern pike from inland waters.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☒ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☒ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☒ Other? (please elaborate)

\* Our Lake Superior fish have all been processed as follows: We remove an untrimmed, skin-on fillet from each fish. The fillet is then segmented into fatty tissue, skin, and muscle (edible portion). In the past we have either measured concentrations of contaminants in all three tissue types or measured contaminants only in the muscle tissue and estimated concentrations in fat and skin based on percent lipid. Segmenting the fillets allows for estimates of contaminant concentrations in untrimmed skin-on, trimmed skin-on, and muscle (edible portion) fillets.

Our ILM walleye samples are processed into untrimmed, skin-off fillets.

\*\* A skin-off fillet "chunk" or "steak" is taken for muskellunge samples.

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.  
☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species  
☒ age  
☒ length  
☐ weight  
☐ collection date  
☐ sample volume  
☐ Other (please describe)

NOTE – ILM samples are analyzed as individual fish and Lake Superior fish have been analyzed as composites (except for one lake sturgeon sample).

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

NOTE – all method detection limits (MDL) and estimated quantitation limits (EQL) are given in ug/kg. MDLs change periodically and can be different for individual samples if dilutions occur.

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs	EPA Method 8082	MDL-12 EQL-50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aroclors		
<input type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT	All Chlorinated pesticides based on EPA method 8081A	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		MDL-1.1 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		MDL-1.1 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		MDL-0.74 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		MDL-1.0 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		MDL-0.99 EQL-5.0
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		MDL-0.42 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		MDL-1.6 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		MDL-1.0 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		MDL-0.80 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		MDL-0.72 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		MDL-46 EQL-250
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		MDL-0.42 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		MDL-1.1 EQL-5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin		MDL-0.84 EQL-5.0
<input type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		MDL-0.66 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		MDL-0.86 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		MDL-0.68 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		MDL-0.48 EQL-2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		
Mercury - Total mercury via cold vapor AA, based EPA method 245.6;		MDL-12 EQL-50
$\beta$ BHC		MDL-1.1 EQL-2.5

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Heptachlor	MDL-0.72 EQL-2.5
Endrin Ketone	MDL-0.90 EQL-5.0
Endrin Aldehyde	MDL-1.0 EQL-5.0
Methoxychlor	MDL-2.8 EQL-25
Hexachlorobenzene	MDL-0.45 EQL-2.5
Pentachloroanisole	MDL-0.36 EQL-2.5
Endosulfan I	MDL-0.43 EQL-2.5
Endosulfan II	MDL-0.80 EQL-5.0
Endosulfan Sulfate	MDL-2.0 EQL-5.0
o,p' DDE	MDL-1.2 EQL-5.0
o,p' DDD	MDL-0.82 EQL-5.0
Percent Moisture	

Dioxins and Furans – Our Lake Superior fish samples from 1999-2000 were analyzed for 7 dioxin and 10 furan congeners by Triangle Laboratories, Inc., which is now known as Eno River Labs, Inc. Analysis was based on EPA Method 8290. Results were converted to toxic equivalency concentrations (TEQs) using both EPA and World Health Organization toxic equivalency factors (TEFs).

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Pace normally extracts a 20 gram sample of fish tissue. They will extract less if the tissue is very lipid-rich or if there isn't 20 grams available. The exact amount is dependent on the analytes of interest and the detection limit desired.

LSRI requires between 0.2 and 0.3 grams of fish tissue for mercury analysis.

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Fish collected from the same location
- ☒ Same species of fish collected
- ☒ Same size of fish collected
- ☐ Same age of fish collected
- ☐ Same sex of fish collected
- ☒ Same collection time
- ☐ Lipid normalization
- ☐ Others? (please elaborate)

We have done several informal analyses of trends of mercury in walleye, and are working on a more formal analysis looking at trends in individual lakes and across northern Wisconsin as a whole. We are using regressions based on time and length of fish. We have used SAS software in the past, and the formal analysis is using winBUGS in order to use a Bayesian approach. Our work is limited to walleye, since that is the species of primary interest for the tribes for



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

whom we work, and limited to mercury, which represents the bulk of our contaminant data. There are naturally some reasons to want to account for age, but we do not always have age data available, and in addition we prefer to focus on length as a predictor since it is so much easier to gather and since tribal members immediately know the lengths of the fish they harvest but not their ages. We would like to account for sex in our analyses since the sexes grow at different rates, and we collect information on sex in our data. However, we exchange mercury data with the Wisconsin Dept. of Natural Resources and they do not routinely collect information on sex. Since their data comprises a large portion of the data used in our analyses, it makes it problematic to account for sex. Our samples are usually collected at the same time of year, in the early spring. We do not account for lipid normalization. Our samples are collected from fillets with skin off, and WDNR's are collected from fillets with skin on. The agencies agree that there are differences between mercury concentrations in skin-on versus skin-off fillets, however, these differences do not preclude them from using each other's data for issuing fish consumption advisories.

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

NO

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Typically, no, but we have conducted a study that compared water chemistry characteristics such as pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, total dissolved solids, turbidity, REDOX potential, color, sulfide, alkalinity, and temperature in several northern Wisconsin and Michigan reservoirs to mercury concentrations in walleye from those reservoirs. The purpose was to determine whether one or more of these parameters could be useful in predicting mercury concentrations in reservoirs.

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, ILM samples have been archived since 1998 and all Lake Superior fish samples collected have been archived. Archiving is done by placing the ground fish tissue samples into amber glass jars with Teflon-lined lids. The samples are stored in a chest freezer at temperatures at or below -10°C at LSRI in Superior, WI.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

We use regressions (linear, quadratic, or cubic) with time and length as predictors, as well as sex if possible. We evaluate p-values and R-squared values. As previously mentioned, most of this work has been done rather informally using SAS software in the past, and the formal analysis we are currently working on is using winBUGS to accomplish a Bayesian analysis, which will allow us to make direct probability statements regarding the parameters, which circumvents some of the potential problems with interpreting standard frequentist parameter estimates. We will examine trends on a lake-by-lake basis, as well as on a regional scale. Our time period of interest is from around 1992 through the present.

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

Obviously it is more difficult to evaluate trends when sample sizes are small, but there are no problems as such that I'm aware of with the methods we've been using. We have noticed very great differences in mercury contaminant levels from lake to lake, so if we were interested only in evaluating regional trends, we would want to spread out our samples to cover more lakes, rather than focusing on smaller subsets of lakes. However, this is not our only interest as an agency. We also want to focus our attention on the lakes that receive more harvest and walleye consumption by tribal members so that we can provide current and accurate information on contaminants in these lakes.

#### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

## Illinois *Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? Since 1974 \_\_\_\_\_

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** In 1976, the Illinois Department of Conservation (IDOC) expanded fish contaminant sampling to include 40 state lakes, a few public lakes and three U.S. Army Corps of Engineers lakes. In 1983, a memorandum agreement coordinated sampling with the IDOC/Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) Basin Survey program. In 1989, modifications to routine sampling sites were made.

In 1992, a lack of funding required that the FCMP was reduced to sampling in Lake Michigan and a few specific problem areas only.

**Analytical** In 1984, analysis of mercury was suspended based on an analysis of historical data.

In 1985, new procedures for quality assurance in laboratories (IEPA, Illinois Department of Public Health [IDPH], Illinois Department of Agriculture) were required to meet quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) spiked sample testing supplied through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) quality assurance programs.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

In 1986, the *Work/Quality Assurance Guidance Plan* was revised so that all fish contaminant samples were analyzed by the IEPA labs. In 1992, analysis of mercury was reinstated for all predator samples collected.

In 1997, the *Protocol for a Uniform Great Lakes Sport Fish Advisory on Lake Michigan* was adopted, using the actual levels of contaminants found in a single sample rather than the percentage exceeding the action level for several samples.

**Other** In 1977, IDPH, IDOH, FDA, IEPA and IDOC coordinated fish contaminant sampling and established quality control procedures. It was agreed that all data were to be stored in the EPA computer system, STORET, through IEPA.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☒ Other (please describe)

Fixed intervals: Every 2-4 years for waters with existing advisories, every 5 – 10 years for water without advisories; if sample(s) from waters without advisories have contaminant levels exceeding criteria, sampling is repeated within 2 years.

Case-by-case determination: If sample(s) from waters without advisories have contaminant levels exceeding criteria are followed up and the 2 sampling events are still inadequate for determining advisories, a third sample is collected.

Other: Special studies/investigations are occasionally undertaken, with monitoring designs appropriate to the study (often intensive).

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☐ Other (please describe)?

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

Other: flathead catfish, black and yellow bullhead, white and yellow bass, bluegill, sunfish, rock bass, spotted bass, sauger, freshwater drum, smallmouth, bigmouth, and black buffalo

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled)  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets (catfish and bullhead species)  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☒ Other? (please elaborate)

Other: Headless gutted smelt

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

☐ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.  
☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☐ age
- ☒ length (smallest fish must be at least 75% of largest)
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<u>X</u> PCBs		
<u>X</u> Arochlors		0.1
_____ Congeners		
<u>X</u> $\Sigma$ DDT		0.01
_____ o,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDE		
_____ p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<u>X</u> Mirex		0.01
_____ Photomirex		
<u>X</u> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		0.02
_____ $\alpha$ -chlordane		
_____ $\gamma$ -chlordane		
_____ cis-nonachlor		
_____ trans-nonachlor		
_____ oxychlordane		
<u>X</u> Toxaphene		1.0
<u>X</u> Aldrin		0.01
<u>X</u> Dieldrin		0.01
<u>X</u> Endrin		0.01
_____ Octachlorostyrene		
<u>X</u> Heptachlor epoxide		0.01
<u>X</u> BHC		0.01
<u>X</u> $\alpha$ BHC		0.01
_____ $\delta$ BHC		
<u>X</u> $\gamma$ BHC		0.01
<u>X</u> Other Chemicals? Please list.		
Heptachlor		0.01
Hexachlorobenzene		0.01
Methoxychlor		0.05
Mercury		0.10 – 0.03

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

30 grams

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

No evaluation of trends.

- ☐ Fish collected from the same location
- ☐ Same species of fish collected
- ☐ Same size of fish collected
- ☐ Same age of fish collected
- ☐ Same sex of fish collected
- ☐ Same collection time
- ☐ Lipid normalization
- ☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

None

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Yes, water column and sediments

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, samples of homogenized fish tissue are archived for 15 years.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

NA



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

NA

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

None

## Indiana *Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 1977 (28+ years)

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

The program started with the issuance of Indiana's first FCAs – Lake Trout from Lake Michigan. Subsequent monitoring began to occur in relation to a PCB contaminated area. In 1979 we began biennial monitoring at "CORE Program" sites.

**Sampling** The most significant issue has been in the preparation of the sample. In the past, cross-sectional stakes had been prepared for Lake Michigan salmonids. There was a period in the 1980s where all tissue samples were prepared as skin off fillets. Bullhead were treated as gutted and beheaded "fiddlers." Today all scaled species are prepared as skin-on scaleless fillets including the belly flap and fatty portion along the spinal column and smooth skinned species are treated as skin-off fillets (see "Sport Fish Advisory Protocol") unless we analyze them as whole fish. Sample preparations are clearly marked in the database and one should always take that into consideration when doing an exploratory analysis on the data.

**Analytical** Indiana originally used the Indiana State Department of Health Food and Dairy Lab (now Consumer Health Lab). In 1987 we began to use a contract laboratory service. Essentially it had been the same lab up until 2002

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

when there was a lab location change because of change in ownership. Method modifications have occurred over the years resulting in a trend of decreasing quantitation limits.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☒ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments (not marked on MI survey because of oversight.)  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☒ Based on a randomized design \*  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Fixed interval is coverage of the State on a 5 year rotating basin coverage as part of Indiana's surface water monitoring program. Not all sites are fixed and scheduled for repeat on the rotating basin schedule. The only certain sites for revisit in every basin revisit are the historical CORE Program sites, Lake Michigan (annually), and Ohio River (annual – ORSANCO)

\* Sampling of fish tissue from fish community probabilistic monitoring sites was implemented in 1997 but put on hold since 2004. Hold is partially due to human resources issues but also the need for this effort to take equal priority to other media collected in order to be successful (fish tissue collection was secondary and therefore not collected at all sampleable sites).

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content (I consider this chemical information)  
☒ Other (please describe)? (percent moisture content)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (GLNPO) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White perch          |
| (GLNPO)   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp                |  |

Note: Species collection depends on what the waterbody has to offer. We have data on more than 73 species of fish across the State and across the years. Our top species include common carp, channel catfish, largemouth bass, smallmouth bass, spotted bass, longear sunfish, bluegill, rock bass, redhorse spp. Walleye/sauger/saugeye, and flathead catfish.

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish
- ☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled species)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets (smooth skinned species)
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets (What do you consider trimmed?)
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☐ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed? Both

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☐ age
- ☒ length
- ☐ weight
- ☒ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

Our goal is to make composite samples of from 3 to 5 or more individual fish included in the composite. We will keep and analyze individual fish from sites if that is all the site will present us. This becomes especially true with upper predators. Because we are trying to cover large geographical areas,

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

waterbodies with multiple sites and multiple waterbodies we can only spend a limited amount of time sampling and then must move on. An individual fish, although not as desirable for an individual site, is very valuable in the realm of developing a data set over time, across basins, and within expansive stretches of large waterbodies such as the Wabash River.

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification</u>
<u>Level (ug/kg)</u>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors (spelled aroclor)	8082	50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners (special cases)	1668	
Σ DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex	8081	5.0
Photomirex		
Σ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> α-chlordane	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> γ-chlordane	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene	8081	250
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin	8081	5.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin	8081	5.0
Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide	8081	2.5
BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> α BHC	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> δ BHC	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> γ BHC	8081	2.5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		
Endosulfan I	8081	2.5
Endosulfan II	8081	5.0

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Endosulfan Sulfate	8081	5.0
Endrin Aldehyde	8081	5.0
Endrin Ketone	8081	5.0
Heptachlor	8081	2.5
Methoxychlor	8081	25.0
Pentachloroanisole	8081	2.5
Hexachlorobenzene	8081	2.5
 Brominated Diphenyl Ethers	 6014	 sub ppb
 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	 8270C	 1.7
 Semivolatile Compounds	 8270C	 500+
 Volatile Organic Compounds	 8260B	 5.0+
<u>Metals on all samples</u>		
Cadmium	6020	20
Lead	6020	70
Mercury	6020	50

Our lab has the capability of analyzing for a large list of metals.

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

30 grams

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply) It depends on what contaminants you are evaluating and how extensive your data set is.

- ☒ Fish collected from the same location
- ☒ Same species of fish collected
- ☒ Same size of fish collected
- ☐ Same age of fish collected
- ☐ Same sex of fish collected
- ☐ Same collection time
- ☒ Lipid normalization (Only for trend/spatial and only with lipophilic compounds.)
- ☒ Others? (please elaborate) (dry weight normalization for Hg.)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

Organic carbon would be with a sediment sampling. Yes, we have the ability to analyze complimentary composite surficial sediment samples for the same BCCs we look for in the fish tissue samples.

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Surficial aquatic sediments from same fish tissue collection reach. Although this has been traditionally part of the fish tissue monitoring program, in recent years it has been put on hold due to staffing resource shuffling.

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Officially NO. Although we will sit on some homogenates for a few years and have subjected some of these to comparative studies using new techniques we have no formal protocol for long term archiving. Both our lab and our contract lab have limited freezer space.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Transformations include lipid or moisture normalization and graphing on a temporal scale with correlation coefficient. No other statistical are performed at this time. Running means may be evaluated along with site/species correlations.

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

See above. What has not worked as well is looking as single species from singles sites across years. Of greater value is the pooling of larger data sets on a greater spatial scale.

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

The primary purpose of the Indiana fish contaminants monitoring program is to support the issuance/update of fish consumption advisories for Indiana. The program design by necessity makes trend monitoring more problematic. Improved trend monitoring might be accomplished through a specialized probabilistic design utilizing a sentinel species (or functional feeding guild) for long term monitoring, stratified in such a way as to answer particular questions on a desired spatial and temporal scale. We had started collecting tissue from fish community probabilistic sites a number of years ago but that effort is currently on hold for a number of reasons.

In the current environment of 303(d) listing of impaired waters for non-support of Aquatic Life Uses for “fishable/swimmable” are being based on health information (i.e. the FCA). The emphasis of contaminant monitoring for spatial trends again is rendered secondary. The problem in 305(b) reporting and 303(d) listing is that the rules of assessment and interpretation for risk communication can change which is a policy issue. Perhaps when EPA gets away from FCAs driving fishable ALUS and moves to a contaminant level as a benchmark for this impairment it will help to guide states toward further development of acceptable trend monitoring effort in addition to monitoring for health advisory issuance(s).

## Inter-tribal Fisheries and Assessment Program

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☒ Others: Please elaborate.

Sale of tribal commercial fish harvest.

3. How long has your program been in existence? 15 years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If you answered yes, when did these changes occur, what were these changes, and what efforts, if any, were made to make previous data compatible with past? As an example, we have included the following information from MDEQ's whole-fish trend monitoring program, but feel free to follow a different format if that works better for your program.

Consumers of Great Lakes fish are advised by health authorities to prepare fish by removing excess fat and skin from fillets prior to cooking in order to reduce the amount of contaminants that may concentrate in the fatty portions of fish. Following these guidelines, ITFAP has modified its sample preparation to remove the skin before analysis. Prior to this change in procedure, ITFAP had requested that the skin be left on for analysis in order to be consistent with the State of Michigan's methodology. The purpose for testing skin-off fillets is to better reflect the amount of contaminants in an edible portion fillet. Several studies have shown that a reduction of PCBs by an average of 1/3 is achieved by removal of skin prior to analysis of a raw fillet (Zabik et al., 1993; Skea et al., 1979). Based on these results, PCB concentrations in the 2001 and 2004 results were adjusted in order to compare them to earlier analytical results from skin-on fillets.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☐ Inland rivers  
☐ Inland lakes  
☐ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Lake Superior, Lake Huron and Lake Michigan on a rotating basis (eg. Lake Superior 2004, Lake Huron 2005, Lake Michigan 2006)

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☒ Gender  
☒ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)

Lamprey wounds, tags,

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout | <input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout    | <input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon           | <input type="checkbox"/> White perch                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon        | <input type="checkbox"/> Smelt                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout           | <input type="checkbox"/> Alewife                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout         | <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carp                  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch          | Lake Whitefish   |

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Whole fish
- ☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets
- ☒ Trimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☐ Other?

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☐ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ species
- ☐ age
- ☐ length
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels? Same as Mike Whittle in the past – Environment Canada in the future?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<u>  X  </u> PCBs		
<u>  X  </u> Aroclors		
<u>  X  </u> Congeners		
<u>  X  </u> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<u>  X  </u> o,p' DDT		
<u>  X  </u> p,p' DDT		
<u>  X  </u> p,p' DDE		
<u>  X  </u> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<u>  X  </u> Mirex		
<u>  X  </u> Photomirex		
<u>  X  </u> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> cis-nonachlor		
<u>  X  </u> trans-nonachlor		
<u>  X  </u> oxychlordane		
<u>  X  </u> Toxaphene		
<u>  X  </u> Aldrin		
<u>  X  </u> Dieldrin		
<u>  X  </u> Endrin		
<u>  X  </u> Octachlorostyrene		
<u>  X  </u> Heptachlor epoxide		
<u>  X  </u> BHC		
<u>  X  </u> $\alpha$ BHC		
<u>  X  </u> $\delta$ BHC		
<u>  X  </u> $\gamma$ BHC		
<u>  X  </u> Other Chemicals? Please list. Mercury and others		

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

5 g

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☒ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☒ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

No

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

No

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Averages are illustrated in graphs – no statistical analyses have been performed but intend to complete in future.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

NA

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Definitely should be looking at storage, packaging, loss of moisture content, reduction in contaminants by removal of skin and fat. Studies have shown significant differences in samples due to fluid loss due to packaging, thawing, and length of time from collection to processing.

## Minnesota Fish Contaminant Trend Monitoring Program

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)  
  
☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)
  
2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?  
  
☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate
  
3. How long has your program been in existence? 15 years
  
4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** None stated

#### **Analytical Changes in Laboratories:**

The majority of data from 1967 to 1989 were produced at the Minnesota Department of Health lab under the Pollution Control Agency program. This included mercury and PCB residue work and also some limited number of other heavy metals and organics analyses.

The Minnesota DNR laboratory at Carlos Avery also did a fair amount of fish contaminant analysis, predominantly mercury residue work, in the 1970s.

A local private laboratory (Braun Intertec) produced virtually all contaminant data for DNR from 1990 to 1998.

The Minnesota Department of Agriculture lab took over all fish contaminant work in 1999 and continues to present.

From 1967–1989, essentially the same analytical method for mercury (cold



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

vapor AA) was used by both state laboratories. Tissue types varied somewhat during those years. For much of the early mercury work in the 1970s muscle plugs without skin were analyzed; from roughly 1980 to the present, edible fillets have been predominantly used. Some attempts were made to compare mercury levels in these two tissue types, with fairly similar results. PCB analytical methods used were similar. Tissue types included both whole fish and edible fillets.

**1990 to the present:** In 1990, and again in 1998, when lab switches were made, attempts were made to establish data comparability by having both labs run numbers of split samples for mercury and PCBs.

**1990:** Department of Health laboratory and Braun Intertec laboratory each ran 30–40 split samples for mercury and PCBs. Mercury results compared very well, with somewhat more variation in PCB results. Both labs used essentially the same mercury cold-vapor method. Both labs used Aroclor<sup>®</sup> method for PCBs, but used a somewhat different set of peaks, which probably accounted for the slight differences in final results.

**1998:** Braun Intertec laboratory and Department of Agriculture laboratory each ran about 50 split samples for mercury and PCBs. Again, results showed good agreement on mercury levels, but not quite as good agreement on PCB results. Methods for both labs were essentially the same: cold-vapor for mercury, PCBs as Aroclor<sup>®</sup> (60/40 Aroclor<sup>®</sup> 1254/1260 mixture as calibration standard).

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design \*  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Fixed interval – every 5 years  
Other – one time events

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age (For some predator species)  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☐ Lipid level or fat content (dropped this parameter in 2003)  
☐ Other (please describe)

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (GLNPO)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

channel catfish, crappie, white sucker, bullheads, bluegill, buffalo, flathead catfish, sauger, white bass, fresh water drum

**For the Screening** Carp, walleye, northern pike

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins (scaled species)  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins (What do you consider trimmed?)  
☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets (smooth skinned species)  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets (What do you consider trimmed?)  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☐ Other? (please elaborate)

Whole fish – screening

Untrimmed fillet with skins – for scaly fish

Untrimmed skinless fillets – for scalesless fish

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed? Both

  X   Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

  X   Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

  X   species

  X   age

  X   length

  X   weight

  X   collection date

       sample volume

       Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification</u>
<u>Level (ug/kg)</u>		
<u>X</u> PCBs		
<u>X</u> Arochlors (spelled aroclor)		
_____ Congeners (special cases)		
<u>Σ</u> DDT		
_____ o,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDT		
_____ p,p' DDE		
_____ p,p' DDD (TDE)		
_____ Mirex		
_____ Photomirex		
<u>Σ</u> Chlordane		
_____ α-chlordane		
_____ γ-chlordane		
_____ cis-nonachlor		
_____ trans-nonachlor		
_____ oxychlordane		
_____ Toxaphene		
_____ Aldrin		
_____ Dieldrin		
_____ Endrin		
_____ Octachlorostyrene		
_____ Heptachlor epoxide		
_____ BHC		
_____ α BHC		
_____ δ BHC		
_____ γ BHC		
<u>X</u> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Chemicals: mercury. *In the screening:* toxaphene, dioxin, polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDES), perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), various household/industrial wastewater compounds

Methods:

PCBs (Aroclors<sup>®</sup>) - AOAC Method 970.52 for extraction of PCBs from fish tissue. EPA SW-846, Method 8082, PCBs by Gas Chromatography. 60/40 Aroclor<sup>®</sup> 1254/1260 mixture as the reference standard. Quantitation limit: around 0.010 mg/kg wet weight for most fish tissue.

Mercury - EPA Method 7473, Mercury in Solids by Thermal Decomposition Amalgamation and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry. Quantitation limit: ~0.010 mg/kg wet weight for most fish tissue.

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply) It depends on what contaminants you are evaluating and how extensive your data set is.

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☒ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☐ Lipid normalization  
☒ Others? (please elaborate)

Collection time – plus or minus a few weeks  
Other – similar number of fish collected

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

Water chemistry parameters

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Water column during screening  
Sediments during screening

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

One year, some long term

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Trends analysis is primarily being done by Bruce Monson of Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### **Background and Instructions:**

The intent of this questionnaire is to learn more about the current protocols for collection and analysis of fish tissue used by your Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program (FCMP) and techniques used by your agency to determine spatial and temporal trends of contaminants in fish from all Great Lakes. This survey builds upon an MDEQ survey sent around in previous years. Please fill out the survey or update your previous responses. Summary results will be disseminated and shared as a way to describe differences in techniques among participating agencies. The questionnaire is divided into four parts:

- I. Program Description
- II. Program Logistics
- III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends
- IV. Conclusion and Summaries

In some cases, the FCMPs include several elements with different goals and designs (e.g., edible portion, young-of-year (YOY), or whole adult fish). If your program has multiple elements, then it would be helpful to the Interlaboratory Comparison Study if the questions were answered separately for each element. We have provided three copies of the questionnaire for this purpose. We have also provided the entire questionnaire and your previous answers on CD should you wish to respond electronically. Please feel free to append reports or other written materials when you feel that they will answer questions posed below.

Please send survey responses, reports, or other written materials to Beth Murphy at:

[murphy.elizabeth@epa.gov](mailto:murphy.elizabeth@epa.gov)

Or

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Great Lakes National Program Office  
MC 17J  
77 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, IL 60604

If you would like additional information about the questionnaire or the project, please contact Beth. Murphy at 312-353-4227 or Michael Whittle at 905-336-4565. We would appreciate receiving your responses by February 1, 2006. . Thank you in advance for your assistance.

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

Please attach a description of each element to this questionnaire. Additionally, if your program includes different elements, please answer questions 2 through 25 for each element.

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? \_\_\_\_\_

Informally since 1983, formally since 1992.



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If you answered yes, when did these changes occur, what were these changes, and what efforts, if any, were made to make previous data compatible with past? As an example, we have included the following information from MDEQ's whole-fish trend monitoring program, but feel free to follow a different format if that works better for your program.

FCMP Element	Date (Initiation or Change)	Description (brief description of program or change)
Whole fish trend monitoring	2001	Added Hg to GLFMP's routine analyte list.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Every year  
☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

We return to locations based on our TMDL determinations, or when our data indicate we need to return due to our data becoming historical (>10 years old).

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☐ Other (please describe)?

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

Anything of edible size that we can collect that someone might feasibly consume as available, but the above marked fish are most common.

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)

Depends on the type of fish and why we want them.

☐ Other? (please elaborate)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

  x   Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

  x   Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

It depends on the availability of the species within the water body.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

  x   species

       age

  x   length

       weight

  x   collection date

  x   sample volume

       Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors		
<input type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

hexachlorobenzene

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

150 grams

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☐ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☐ Same collection time  
☐ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

We try not to use our data for trends, but when we must we heavily caveat it and state all potential confounding factors.

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

No.

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

We sometimes collect sediment data along with fish data.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

No.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

In the past, we've graphed results of a species from a water body over time for a particular contaminant.

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

We don't collect data to monitor trends, but it sometimes does get used that way. Because we don't collect the same fish from the same location year after year, there are often large time gaps or collection location gaps in our data that render statistically valid trend determinations impossible.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

No.

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

## Ministry of the Environment Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☒ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 30 years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** None stated

**Analytical** In 1997, photomirex was added to the list of contaminants analyzed; in 2000, dioxin-like PCBs were added to the analysis; in 2001, there was a reduction in the toxaphene detection limit; in 2002, additional chlordane congeners were analyzed.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☒ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Every year  
☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☒ Other (please describe)

When possible

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☒ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☐ Other (please describe)?

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White perch          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list) |

Channel catfish, crappie, whitefish

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish  
☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☒ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☐ Other? (please elaborate)

Whole Fish – Young of the Year samples

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

  X   Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

  X   issue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

  X   species

  X   age

  X   length

  X   weight

  X   collection date

       sample volume

       Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<u>X</u> PCBs		
<u>X</u> Arochlors		
<u>X</u> Congeners		
<u>X</u> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<u>X</u> o,p' DDT		
<u>X</u> p,p' DDT		
<u>X</u> p,p' DDE		
<u>X</u> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<u>X</u> Mirex		
<u>X</u> Photomirex		
<u>X</u> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<u>X</u> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<u>X</u> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<u>X</u> cis-nonachlor		
<u>X</u> trans-nonachlor		
<u>X</u> oxychlordane		
<u>X</u> Toxaphene		
<u>X</u> Aldrin		
<u>X</u> Dieldrin		
<u>X</u> Endrin		
<u>X</u> Octachlorostyrene		
<u>X</u> Heptachlor epoxide		
<u>X</u> BHC		
<u>X</u> $\alpha$ BHC		
<u>X</u> $\delta$ BHC		
<u>X</u> $\gamma$ BHC		
<u>X</u> Other Chemicals? Please list.		
Mercury		

PCBs (Arochlors) - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.02 mg/kg)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

*PCB (congeners)* - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.001 mg/kg)

*DDT, DDD* - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.005 mg/kg)

*DDD* - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.001 mg/kg)

*Mercury* - Method not reported, quantitation limit = 0.01 mg/kg

*α-chlordane, γ-chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor, oxychlordane* - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.002 mg/kg)

*Toxaphene, dieldrin/ endrin* - GC/ECD analysis (quantitation limit = 0.05 mg/kg)

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Contaminant specific

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☒ Same size of fish collected  
☒ Same age of fish collected  
☒ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☒ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

No

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Prey fish

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

No

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Regression – standard lengths

Other - ANOVA

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

# Pennsylvania Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program

## Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☒ Other (please specify)

American eel – Composite of 5 one inch skinless sections from each fish. Bones are included

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 27 YEARS

Routine monitoring for contaminants in fish began in 1979.

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** - Sampling of both whole fish (as prescribed in the EPA Core program) and the edible portion (FDA standard fillets) began in 1983 at half of the 36 Core stations. In 1988 sampling of the edible portion began almost exclusively to determine the need for consumption advisories. In addition, sampling was no longer limited to the original 36 stations. The tissue monitoring is rotated through the entire ambient monitoring network on a 5-year basis, in order to follow up on existing advisories and allow the field biologists to recommend sampling in areas of concern in their geographic area of responsibility.

**Analytical** The methodologies utilized for the determination of the organic parameters have evolved in the period since 1983. The final determinative step's

type of hardware, GC-ECD (Gas Chromatograph – Electron Capture Detector), has remained constant, though there have been significant improvements in performance with newer hardware. Packed column isothermal operation has given way to capillary columns with temperature programming. These improvements have led to significant improvements in both qualitative determination and reductions in detection limits. The sample preparation process has also undergone improvements over this period. The original methodology, based on an FDA (Food and Drug Administration) method involved high speed blending of the tissue with a solvent and filtering out the solid material. The resulting solution was then cleaned up with macro column chromatography using solid absorbents. In 1991 a modified USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) method, a 16-hour soxhlet extraction process followed by GPC (Gel Permeation Chromatography) and SPE (Solid Phase Extraction) cleanup techniques, replaced the previous extraction process. The resulting process was faster, used less solvent, had higher precision and was as accurate as the previous method. In 1994, after 2 years of development, the EPA methodology was replaced with an in house extraction method that was equivalent in performance. This method, presented at the 1993 Pittsburgh Conference, was a Supercritical Extraction process with integral cleanup. The resulting method used almost no solvent and provided cleaner extracts. This technology is still in use by the laboratory.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☒ Other (please describe)

Pennsylvania Fish & Boat Commission trout hatcheries

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria) – we do not do any randomized sampling – re-sampling on sites not on the 5 year rotation are only sampled upon request  
☒ Other (please describe)

Fixed intervals – every 5 years

7. What are the key factors that your agency considers when selecting the waterbodies, fish species, chemicals, and tissue types to be investigated each year? Has this decision-making process been documented in a written format? Please describe how this decision-making process is implemented each year.

Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

8. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☐ Age  
☒ Gender (on Lake Erie steelhead / coho samples)  
☒ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)?

Other – Moisture content (metals analysis)

Other – Comments on condition

9. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Lake Trout  
☐ Sicowet Lake Trout  
☒ Coho Salmon  
☐ Chinook Salmon  
☒ Brown Trout  
☒ Rainbow Trout  
☒ Walleye  
☒ Carp  
☒ Yellow Perch  
☒ Smallmouth Bass  
☒ Largemouth Bass  
☒ White perch  
☐ Smelt  
☐ Alewife  
☒ Northern Pike  
☐ Other? (please list)

Brook trout, yellow bullhead, brown bullhead, flathead catfish, white sucker, muskellunge, American eel, sauger, white catfish, burbot, freshwater drum, silver redhorse



10. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Whole fish
- ☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins
- ☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☒ Other? (please elaborate)

Untrimmed fillet with skins – belly flap

Untrimmed skinless fillets – catfish

Other – eel skinless, gutted X section

11. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

- ☐ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☐ age
- ☒ length
- ☐ weight
- ☒ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

12. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<u>  X  </u> PCBs		
<u>  X  </u> Arochlors		
<u>      </u> Congeners		
<u>      </u> DDT		
<u>  X  </u> op'DDT		
<u>  X  </u> pp'DDT		
<u>  X  </u> p,p'DDE		
<u>  X  </u> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<u>  X  </u> Mirex / photomirex		
<u>      </u> Chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<u>  X  </u> cis-nonachlor		
<u>  X  </u> trans-nonachlor		
<u>  X  </u> oxychlordane		
<u>      </u> Toxaphene		
<u>  X  </u> Aldrin		
<u>  X  </u> Dieldrin/Endrin		
<u>      </u> Octachlorostyrene		
<u>  X  </u> Heptachlor epoxide		
<u>  X  </u> BHC		
<u>  X  </u> $\alpha$ BHC		
<u>  X  </u> $\delta$ BHC		
<u>  X  </u> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

Methoxychlor, chlordane, heptachlor, lindane, kepone, lead, copper, chromium, cadmium

Methods:

PCBs (Arochlors) - Nominal reporting levels for all Arochlors<sup>®</sup> are 0.050 mg/kg based on wet weight. The methodology employed for extraction is a superficial

fluid extraction (SFE) utilizing CO<sub>2</sub>, similar to EPA Method 3561, which incorporates a lipid removal process as part of the extraction. This method was detailed in a paper presented at the "Pittsburgh Conference of Analytical Chemistry and Applied Spectroscopy" in March 1995. The determinative steps are modifications of EPA Method 8082

*DDT, DDE, DDD,  $\alpha$ -chlordane,  $\gamma$ -chlordane, cis-nonachlor, transnonachlor, oxychlordane, dieldrin/ endrin, BHC, and other organic compounds* - Nominal reporting levels of 0.004 mg/kg based on wet weight, with the assumption that there are not any PCB interferences. The methodology employed for extraction is an SFE utilizing CO<sub>2</sub>, similar to EPA Method 3561, which incorporates a lipid removal process as part of the extraction. This method was detailed in a paper presented at the "Pittsburgh Conference of Analytical Chemistry and Applied Spectroscopy" in March 1995. The determinative steps are modifications of EPA Method 8081.

*Mercury* - EPA Method 245.1 quantitation limit = 0.02 mg/kg

*Lead* - EPA Method 200.8, quantitation limit=0.025 mg/kg

*Copper* - EPA Method 200.8 quantitation limit=0.10 mg/kg

*Chromium* - EPA Method 200.7, quantitation limit=0.10 mg/kg

*Cadmium* - EPA Method 200.8, quantitation limit=0.005 mg/kg

13. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

In theory we could complete an organic analysis with as little as 10 grams of tissue. But from a practical point of view that small of a sample would be difficult to homogenize and there would not be any tissue left to do re-runs or QCs. It is generally better if we have a minimum of 200 grams of tissue.

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

14. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☐ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☐ Same collection time  
☐ Lipid normalization

\_\_\_\_\_Others? (please elaborate)

15. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

NO

16. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

NO

17. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Pennsylvania does not retain tissue for more than a year and extracts are not retained for more than a few weeks after analytical work is completed.

18. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Regression – limited

Other – simple perusal of data

19. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

## **WE HAVE NOT LOOKED AT TRENDS**

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

20. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

## Wisconsin Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program (on a limited basis)  
☒ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program (limited specially funded for 5 years for Hg only)  
☒ Caged-organism monitoring program (Rarely anymore but database contains some caged fish data)  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program (for fish consumption advice and success of remediation)  
☐ Other (please specify)

Special monitoring occasionally at specific sites to answer specific questions typically of limited duration. There is some limited data for snapping turtles and invertebrates, and for fish feed used and products produced by our state fish hatcheries.

The primary element of Wisconsin's program is fish consumption advice and success of remediation. Other elements are limited or short term.

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 35

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

The state of Wisconsin has monitored chemicals in fish since the 1970s. The objective of the Wisconsin FCMP is primarily to collect data for fish consumption advisories. Thus, the major component of the monitoring program concerns collection and analysis of edible fish tissue.

Selection of sampling sites depends on a number of factors, such as size of the water body, the ease of access, and the amount of fishing activity. The focus of the sampling has changed over the years:

Prior to 1990 - a site-by-site selection of water bodies based on suspected problems in the first years,

1990-2000 - basin assessment approach where the goal was to return to a basin every five years and where specific problem sites within a basin would be targeted for repeated sampling.

2000 to now - baseline assessment adopted for fish community, habitat, and macroinvertebrate community monitoring. The goal of this is a statewide coverage, in addition to the sampling of advisory sites occurring every five years.

The SLOH quantifies total PCBs by GC/ECD after clean-up by gel permeation (EPA method 3630C) and silica gel column chromatography. Certified Aroclor standards are used to quantify Aroclors. The Mullins mix of Aroclors is used for congeners as defined by Ribick et al, 1982. The methods are described in the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene (August 1, 2003. Section -- 1440 PCB Analysis in Tissue. Revision 3.1 August 1, 2003 - present). The methods reference:

A. Ribick, M., Petty and Stalling, 1982. "Toxaphene Residues in Fish: Identification, Quantification and Confirmation at Part per Billion Level." Environmental Science and Technology, 16, 310-318.

B. "Silica Gel Cleanup", EPA Method 3630C, (Revision 2, December, 1996.

C. "Gel-Permeation Cleanup", EPA Method 3640A, (Revision 1, September, 1994.

The method reference is (partially): Sullivan and Delfino. 1982. Jo of Env. Science and Health.

For dioxin and furan congeners, we contract out to laboratories by bid. Method specified is 1613B. Detection limit varies with the congener and is too complicated to list here.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

For mercury analysis, the method is cold vapor atomic absorption spectrophotometry (USEPA Method 1631). The LOD was 0.004 ug/g in recent years. Mercury in fish is analyzed by the WI SLOH. The oldest mercury fish result I can find shows we had a reporting limit of 0.03 ug/g on 9/29/87. The LOD of 0.004 ug/g started on 6/9/94.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☒ Inland rivers  
☒ Inland lakes  
☒ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☒ Other (please describe)

There is some limited data fish feed used and products produced by our state fish hatcheries.

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☒ Other (please describe)

Schedule varies by pollutant and waterbody type. For inland PCB advisory sites, the goal is to resample every 5 years. For mercury advisory sites, the goal is to resample every 15 years. For inland lakes previously sampled for and with higher mercury concentrations, the goal is to resample every 15 years. For Great Lakes (PCB), the goal is to resample every other year. For inland lakes that have not been sampled, the goal is to sample lakes over 100 acres at least once over the next 15 years.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age (selected)  
☒ Gender (if apparent)  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content (only for samples analyzed for organic chemicals)  
☐ Other (please describe)?

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Siscowet Lake Trout	including bluegills; pumpkinseed;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	green sunfish; black and white
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	crappie; channel and flathead
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	catfish; black, brown, and yellow
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	bullheads; lake sturgeon; white
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye	sucker; durm, burbot, sauger,
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carp	white bass; rock bass; brook
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch	trout; white perch; muskellunge;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass	greater, northern, silver, golden
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass	redhorse; smallmouth and
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> White perch	largemouth buffalo
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smelt	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alewife	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike	

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☒ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☒ Other? (please elaborate)

edible portions or steaks for species like lake sturgeon and sometime muskies where the fish is large and the entire fillet is unwieldy.



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

  X   Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

  X   Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.  
(sometimes)

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- X   species
- age
- X   length
- weight
- X   collection date
- sample volume
- Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors	epa3630c, 3640a	0.040 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		0.04 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		0.03 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		0.040ug/g
<input type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (CIS chlordane) $\alpha$ -chlordane		0.02 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (TRANS chlordane) $\gamma$ -chlordane		0.02 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		0.02 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		0.02 ug/g
<input type="checkbox"/> Oxychlordane		
<input type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		0.020 ug/g
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin		0.02 ug/g
<input type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

O,p-dde LOD=0.030ug/g o,p-ddd LOD=0.040 ug/g

Mercury (cold vapor atomic absorption spectrophotometry (USEPA Method 1631), LOD = 0.004 ug/g), dioxin and furan 2,3,7,8-substituted congeners and at times or in the past other metals and organic chemicals. Also, 11 PBDEs congeners

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

Minimum is 25 grams for organics and 10 grams for inorganics

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Fish collected from the same location
- ☒ Same species of fish collected
- ☒ Same size of fish collected (some samples)
- ☐ Same age of fish collected
- ☐ Same sex of fish collected
- ☒ Same collection time (general season, some analyses)
- ☐ Lipid normalization
- ☐ Others? (please elaborate)

For temporal and spatial analyses, we tend to use statistical methods that assess the significance and adjusts for the confounding factors rather than trying to control for some of the factors known to affect contaminant accumulation at the sampling end. Typically, continuous confounding factors like length (or age or weight or autocorrelated with each other) and or fat are treated as a co-variable in an analysis. For season and sex, the significance can be examined as a classification factor in analysis of variance procedures. It is important to test for significance of interactions between the factors.

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

The primarily purpose of Wisconsin's fish contaminant monitoring is to determining appropriate fish consumption advice. In order to use fish contaminant data for other purposes, data for other variable may be beneficial but are not always available. Some of these variables are available through other monitoring programs.

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

Data may be available for other media through other programs e.g. remediation sites (e.g. Fox River, Sheboygan River, etc.).

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Only for about 50 samples each year on an ad hoc, case by case basis.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Temporal trends are not evaluated as a part of issuing fish consumption advice. Temporal trends would be evaluated using a special dataset, using special statistical procedures, etc. For consumption advice, the more recent years (about 5 to 10 for PCBs and 10 to 15 for mercury) are used. Changes are evaluated by examining the strength of the data but this is not an analysis to determine if concentrations have changed significantly over time. See response to question 13.

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

What has worked well for detecting trends over space and season is to develop a monitoring design specific to the question, issue, location and using statistical methods that are appropriate. Use of data collected for other purposes doesn't work well because data on variable are typically missing.

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

This survey seems to be focused on trends rather than on the methods used to issue fish consumption advice. There are not questions evaluating methods to analyze data for fish consumption advice which is the primary purpose of Wisconsin's fish contaminant monitoring program.

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

## New York Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program

*Lake Ontario Contaminant Trend Surveillance Program (LOCTSP)*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

Lake Ontario Contaminant Trend Surveillance Program

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☒ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities (an off shoot)  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 30 Years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes – Lake Ontario  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☐ Inland rivers  
☐ Inland lakes  
☐ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Every year
- ☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)
- ☐ Based on a randomized design
- ☒ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)
- ☒ Other (please describe)

Project was originally designed for biennial sample collection. Availability of funds and competing projects have forced the use of other intervals that are not consistent.

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Total length
- ☐ Standard length
- ☐ Fork length
- ☒ Weight
- ☒ Age
- ☐ Gender
- ☐ Reproductive condition
- ☒ Lipid level or fat content
- ☒ Other (please describe)?

Percent moisture

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout     | <input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout        | <input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon    | <input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon | <input type="checkbox"/> White perch          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout    | <input type="checkbox"/> Smelt                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout  | <input type="checkbox"/> Alewife              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Walleye                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carp                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list) |

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ Whole fish
- ☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins (with out scales)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☒ Other? (please elaborate)

Age 3+ lake trout analyzed as whole fish; all other lake trout (older fish) are prepared as first noted above.

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

- ☒ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☐ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☐ species
- ☐ age
- ☐ length
- ☐ weight
- ☐ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level (ng/g)</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors		20
_____ Congeners		
_____ $\Sigma$ DDT		
_____ o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		5
_____ $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		5
_____ Toxaphene		
_____ Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		5
_____ Endrin		
_____ Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		5
_____ BHC		
_____ $\alpha$ BHC		
_____ $\delta$ BHC		
_____ $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		
Mercury	EPA Method 245.6	6
HCB	See attached Method	2

See attached method – based on FDA's pesticide analytical manual



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

10 g for PCB/ OC

1 g for Hg

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location

☒ Same species of fish collected

☐ Same size of fish collected

☒ Same age of fish collected

☐ Same sex of fish collected

☒ Same collection time

☒ Lipid normalization (optional)

☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

No

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

No

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

No

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Graphics, Kruskal Wallis

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Expansion into other xenobiotics is a must, but funding for contractual analytical services is lacking. With the heavy involvement in many studies on how sites (including Hudson River), there is an insufficient amount of time (staff resources) to effectively look at Great Lakes data. I know we are missing availability to make positive statements about what is occurring.

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

Note: Smallmouth bass have been taken in recent years to examine the impact of dietary change (ex. Round goby) on contaminant levels. However, we are behind where we should be on this work.

## New York Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program

*Young of the Year Program*

### Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☒ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

Lake Ontario Contaminant Trend Surveillance Program

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☒ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities (an off shoot)  
☒ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☐ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 22 Years

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Sampling** The number of stations increased with time, until 2003 when reduced to 11 stations due to reduced funding.

**Analytical** The number of chemicals analyzed has changed over time (PAHs were only analyzed in 1992, dioxins/furans were added to the list in 1992, PCB congeners were added in 1997). Once added, the same methods were retained for these analytes if analyzed in the future.

For collections taken in 1984 thru 1997, all chemical analyses were conducted at the Department's Hale Creek Field Station. The 2003 samples were analyzed at EnChem, A Division of Pace Analytical Analytical Services Inc. Differences in analytical data were evident. The changes are being evaluated. 2003 Collections reflect a six year interval, caused by lack of funds for 2002.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Great Lakes – Lake Ontario  
☒ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☐ Inland rivers  
☐ Inland lakes  
☐ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Every year  
☒ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)  
☐ Other (please describe)

Fixed interval – every 5 years

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☐ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)?

Percent moisture

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input type="checkbox"/> Walleye	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

Bluntnose minnow, spottail shiner, emerald shiner

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole fish
- ☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins (with out scales)
- ☐ Trimmed fillet with skins
- ☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Trimmed skinless fillets
- ☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)
- ☐ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

- ☐ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.
- ☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☒ age
- ☐ length
- ☐ weight
- ☒ collection date
- ☒ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)

Collection date – 1 time collection/site

Sample volume – sample mass

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level (ng/g)</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arochlors		
<input type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

Mercury, PAHs, Chlorinated Dioxins/Furans, Cadmium, Lipid

Methods:

PCBs (Arochlors) - Modified EPA 8080 (quantitation limit = 0.05 mg/kg)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

*PCBs (congeners)* - ITS Environmental SOP

*PAHs* - Modified EPA 8310 (quantitation limit = 0.05 mg/kg)

*Chlorinated Dioxins/Furans* - EPA 8280/8290 (quantitation limit = 0.000001 mg/kg)

*Mercury* - Modified EPA 7470 (quantitation limit = 0.05 mg/kg)

*Cadmium* - Modified EPA 7131 (quantitation limit = 0.05 mg/kg)

*Lipid* - En Chem SOP (quantitation limit = 0.01 percent)

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

2 grams

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☐ Same size of fish collected  
☒ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☒ Lipid normalization (optional)  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

No

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

No

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

No

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Graphics, Kruskal Wallis

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Thanks again for your time and effort on these questions.

---



## **FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE**

---

Note: Smallmouth bass have been taken in recent years to examine the impact of dietary change (ex. Round goby) on contaminant levels. However, we are behind where we should be on this work.

## U.S. EPA Great Lakes Fish Monitoring Program – *Open Water Trends Monitoring Program*

### Fish Contaminant Trend Monitoring Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☐ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

The Open Lakes Trend Monitoring Program provides data to assess the health of fish and fish-consuming wildlife of the Great Lakes through monitoring of contaminant concentration trends in top predator fish, such as lake trout and walleye, and assessment of the overall effects of toxic chemicals on fish and fish-consuming wildlife. Top predator fish can be used as biological monitors of overall water quality and ecosystem health because contaminant concentrations in fish generally reflect overall contaminant levels in the environment. For example, contaminant concentrations in fish at the top of the food chain reflect contaminant levels in both the surrounding water and in organisms below them in the food chain ([Biomagnification](#)). [Contaminant concentrations in fish](#) also provide information about risks to organisms in higher trophic levels, such as fish-consuming wildlife.

By monitoring contaminant trends in Great Lakes fish, we can determine whether the Great Lakes basin ecosystem is becoming healthier with regard to chemical contaminants. An increase in contaminant concentrations in top predators indicates a degrading environmental condition, while a decrease in contaminant concentrations in top predators indicates an improving environmental condition. Most importantly, measurement of contaminant concentrations is intended as a means to assess the progress towards the International Joint Commission's goal of safe consumption of fish by all wildlife. Fish-consuming wildlife rely upon fish for the majority of their diet, unlike humans with varied diets, and are therefore extremely susceptible to toxic contaminants in the environment.

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

- ☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☒ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

\_\_\_\_\_ Others: Please elaborate

3. How long has your program been in existence? 1976 - PRESENT
4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? X Yes    No

- 1976** The GLFMP begins through a cooperative agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory. The agreement documents the responsibilities of each agency, with GLNPO responsible for funding the chemical analyses and the GLFL responsible for collecting, processing and archiving the fish. The GLFMP begins monitoring lake trout (walleye in Lake Erie) for PCB's, DDT, dieldrin and oxychlordane in Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Erie. Lake trout from Lake Ontario are analyzed for PCBs, DDT and dieldrin. Whole fish are analyzed but instead of using individual fish (original protocol used by the GLFL), fish are grouped into composites. 60 fish are collected per site and sorted into three size categories. Within each size category, the fish are grouped into four composite samples consisting of five fish each. This is the first element of the GLFMP to be implemented and is later referred to as the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. PCBs are now quantified as arochlor 1254 only. DDT is total DDT and includes p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD. Fish are collected annually at two sites per lake.
- 1977** Lake Huron is added to the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element of the GLFMP.
- 1980** Two more elements are added to the GLFMP: Game Fish Fillet Monitoring and Emerging Problems in Harbors and Tributaries. For the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring element, samples are collected by the Great Lakes States annually and analyzed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Laboratory in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The arrangement is documented through cooperative agreements between GLNPO and the Great Lakes States and GLNPO and the USFDA. The parameter list includes arochlor 1242, arochlor 1248, arochlor 1254, arochlor 1260, total PCBs (sum of arochlors), p, p' - DDE, p,p' -DDD, p,p' - DDT, total DDT, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, dacthal, dieldrin, endrin, a BHC, lindane, pentachlorophenylmethyl ether, trans nonachlor, trans chlordane, cis chlordane, cis nonachlor, octachlor epoxide, total chlordane, heptachlor epoxide, mirex, and 8 monohydro-mirex. For the Emerging Problems in Harbors and Tributaries element, samples are collected regularly by the Great Lakes States and then analyzed by the US Environmental Protection Agency's Central Regional Laboratory.
- 1982** Collection procedures are changed for the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. 50 fish are collected in each lake and whole fish are composited into five fish composites for a total of ten composite samples per lake.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Lake trout collected are between 600 and 700 mm total length, and walleye are between 400 and 500 mm total length. Also, fish collections will now be conducted at each site every other year, rather than every year. So we now have an even year site and an odd year site for each of the Great Lakes.

- 1986** Oxychlordane is now monitored in Lake Ontario. Also, the chlordane components cis and trans nonachlor and cis and trans chlordane are added to the Open Lakes Trend Monitoring parameter list. Toxaphene is also added to the list.
- 1989** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element is expanded to include the collection of 50 lake trout (or walleye, where there are no lake trout) and 50 smelt, from each of eleven locations – two in each of the Great Lakes and one in Lake St. Clair – every other autumn. The samples are analyzed for PCBs, DDT complex, dieldrin, chlordane, toxaphene, and mirex (Lake Ontario only).
- 1990** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element begins analyzing for PCB congeners, specifically congeners (IUPAC nos.) 22, 42, 52, 77, 85, 99, 101, 105, 110, 114, 118, 126, 128, 138, 149, 151, 156, 157, 177, 180, 183, 185, 189, and 195. Total PCBs are based on Arochlor 1254 and concentrations are determined by summing 12 congeners, which are easily quantifiable and representative of the chlorination levels, for both the standard and the samples. More information on the PCB calculations can be found in the QAPP, *Monitoring Trends of Selected PCB Congeners and Pesticides in Fish from the Great Lakes, 1991, 1992, and 1993*, and also in the GLEND database. Heptachlor epoxide is also added to the parameter list.
- 1994** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element of the GLFMP adds many new analytes to its parameter list, including pentachlorobenzene, hexachlorobenzene, lindane, aldrin, octachlorostyrene, heptachlor epoxide-b, heptachlor epoxide-a, endrin, and the toxaphene homologues Cl6, Cl7, Cl8, Cl9, Cl10. Also, 80 PCB congeners will be analyzed. Total PCBs are calculated by summing the congeners.
- 1998** The USFDA is no longer able to participate in the GLFMP because the Minneapolis laboratory closes. The U.S. Geological Survey, Great Lakes Science Center (USGS/GLSC), formerly FWS, GLFL, agrees to process the fish from the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring element, along with the samples from the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. The Great Lakes States are instructed to send their samples to the USGS/GLSC laboratory for processing. The game fish fillet composites are also analyzed in the same laboratory selected to analyze the open lake trend composites. The parameter lists are similar, although the game fish are still analyzed for a few chemicals not monitored in the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element, including alpha HCH, pentachlorophenyl methyl ether, dacthal and photo-mirex.
-

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

**2001** The GLFMP conducts the "Workshop on Identifying Emerging Contaminants for Fish Contaminant Monitoring Programs." The overall purpose of the workshop is to provide a mechanism for updating the GLFMP contaminant list. The workshop results in the addition of PBDEs, PCNs, PCDD/Fs, PBB-153 and mercury to the routine monitoring list beginning with fish collected in the fall of the year 2000. Chemicals not added to the routine monitoring list, but considered potential candidates for future inclusion, are PFOS, TBBPA, SCCPs, APEs and chlorothalonil. The parameter lists for the two elements are now identical and include: PCB congeners, PCB co-planars, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene, octachlorostyrene, lindane, alpha-BHC, aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor epoxide a, heptachlor epoxide b, cis-chlordane, trans-chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor, oxychlordane, pp, op-DDT, pp, op-DDE, pp,op-DDD, endrin, mirex, toxaphene and homologs, dacthal, PBDEs, PCNs, PCDD/Fs, PBB-153 and mercury.

**2005** The GLFMP decides to drop certain chemicals that are no longer being detected in measurable concentrations in Great Lakes fish. The following chemicals are dropped beginning with the 2001 fish: pentachlorobenzene, aldrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide-a, o,p-DDT, o,p-DDE and o,p-DDE. Also, mirex is dropped in all lakes except Lake Ontario.

The GLFMP issues a Request for Proposals for the analyses of both the Open Lakes Trend Monitoring fish and the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring fish beginning with fish collected in the year 2004. Three of the previously routine analytes (PCNs, PBB-153 and dacthal) will no longer be measured every year, but rather will be included in the extended year program and analyzed once every five years. PFOS and other emerging chemicals will also be included in the extended year program, with the final list to be decided by the GLFMP steering committee.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Great Lakes
- ☐ Great Lakes connecting channels
- ☐ Inland rivers
- ☐ Inland lakes
- ☐ Reservoirs or impoundments
- ☐ Other (please describe)

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ Every year
- ☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)
- ☐ Based on a randomized design
- ☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

\_\_\_\_\_ Other (please describe)

Annual monitoring at fixed locations on alternating schedule.

Lake	Even Year	Odd Year
Michigan	Saugatuck	Sturgeon Bay
Superior	Apostle Islands	Keewenaw Point
Huron	Rockport	Port Austin
Erie	Middle Bass Island	Dunkirk
Ontario	Oswego	North Hamlin

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
☐ Standard length  
☐ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☒ Gender  
☐ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)?

Any additional information that may be interesting at the time.

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Yellow Perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Sicowet Lake Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smallmouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> Largemouth Bass
<input type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon	<input type="checkbox"/> White perch
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Smelt
<input type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout	<input type="checkbox"/> Alewife
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Walleye (Lake Erie Only)	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Pike
<input type="checkbox"/> Carp	<input type="checkbox"/> Other? (please list)

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Whole fish  
☐ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Trimmed fillet with skins  
☐ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Trimmed skinless fillets  
☐ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
☐ Other? (please elaborate)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

\_\_\_\_\_ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

\_\_\_\_\_x\_\_\_\_\_ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

\_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_ species  
\_\_\_\_\_ age  
\_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_ length  
\_\_\_\_\_ weight  
\_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_ collection date  
\_\_\_\_\_ sample volume  
\_\_\_\_\_ Other (please describe)

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
_____x_____ PCBs		
_____ Aroclors		
_____x_____ Congeners		
_____x_____ $\Sigma$ DDT		
_____x_____ o,p' DDT		
_____x_____ p,p' DDT		
_____x_____ p,p' DDE		
_____x_____ p,p' DDD (TDE)		
_____x_____ Mirex		
_____ Photomirex		
_____x_____ $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
_____x_____ $\alpha$ -chlordane		
_____x_____ $\gamma$ -chlordane		
_____x_____ cis-nonachlor		
_____x_____ trans-nonachlor		
_____x_____ oxychlordane		
_____x_____ Toxaphene		
_____x_____ Aldrin		
_____x_____ Dieldrin		

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

☐ Endrin

☒ Octachlorostyrene

☒ Heptachlor epoxide

☒ BHC

☒  $\alpha$  BHC

☒  $\delta$  BHC

☐  $\gamma$  BHC

☐ Other Chemicals? Please list.

Analyte	MDL, ng/g
PCB congeners	0.002 – 1.0
PCB co-planars	0.002 – 1.0
Hexachlorobenzene	1
Octachlorostyrene	0.83
$\delta$ -BHC (Lindane)	0.606
$\alpha$ -BHC	4.7
Dieldrin	0.44
Heptachlor epoxide b	0.52
Cis-chlordane	1.814
Trans-chlordane	0.488
Cis-nonachlor	1.95
Trans-nonachlor	1.48
Oxychlordane	1.94
pp,-DDT	0.66
pp,-DDE	0.74
pp,-DDD	0.52
Endrin	2.86
Mirex (Lake Ontario only)	1.52
Toxaphene&homologs	24.6
PBDEs	0.001 – .10
Hg	0.521
Fraction lipid	5%
<b>*PCDD/Fs</b>	<b>0.3 - 25</b>
<b>*PCNs</b>	<b>0.2 – 6.0</b>
<b>*Dacthal</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>*PBB-153</b>	<b>0.1 - .2</b>
<b>*PFOS</b>	<b>0.5</b>

**\*A scan for specified list of additional analytes, such as perfluorinated compounds, musk fragrances, APEs, pharmaceuticals and other personal care products (pseudo-persistence), other flame retardants, etc., will be conducted for one year of analysis. The final analyte list for the extended program will be decided by the GLFMP steering committee.**



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

20 grams of sample

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

☒ Fish collected from the same location  
☒ Same species of fish collected  
☒ Same size of fish collected  
☐ Same age of fish collected  
☐ Same sex of fish collected  
☒ Same collection time  
☒ Lipid normalization  
☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

Beginning in 2006, limnological data and organic information will be collected from the same locations as fish.

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

No

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, 2 sets individual composites are saved for each lake (1 reg. 1 PFOS). In addition, a "mega" composite is saved that is a homogenization of all composites collected from a single site.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Perusal of data and use of graphs. GLFMP grantee conducts additional statistical analysis when releasing results.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

Need to revisit age v. length question and question of representitiveness.

## U.S. EPA Great Lakes Fish Monitoring Program – *Sport Fish Fillet Monitoring Program*

### Fish Contaminant Trend Monitoring Questionnaire

#### Part I. Program Description

1. What type of fish contaminant monitoring do you conduct? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Whole adult fish trend monitoring program  
☐ Young-of-year (YOY) trend monitoring program  
☐ Caged-organism monitoring program  
☒ Edible-portion monitoring program  
☐ Other (please specify)

Please attach a description of each element to this questionnaire. Additionally, if your program includes different elements, please answer questions 2 through 25 for each element.

This component is directed at monitoring potential human exposure to contaminants through consumption of popular sport species, as well as providing trend data for top predator species that have shorter exposures than the lake trout collected in the open lakes component. The inclusion of coho salmon in this program also provides a snapshot of contaminant concentrations across the Great Lakes in fish of consistent age, complementing the size-based data collected in the open lakes component. The program provides for the collection of skin-on fillets from coho or chinook salmon (or rainbow trout, if neither is available) by the Great Lakes States. Fish samples are then analyzed for several different contaminants, including PCBs, toxaphene, chlordanes, nonachlors, and other organochlorine compounds.

2. What are the explicit goals of your FCMP (or individual program element)?

☐ Collection of data for issuing fish consumption advisories  
☐ Evaluation of trends of chemicals in the environment  
☐ Evaluation of effectiveness of pollution control activities  
☐ Evaluation of environmental quality (e.g., attainment of the goals of the Clean Water Act, Remedial Action Plan, or Lakewide Management Plan)  
☒ Others: Please elaborate

EPA does not issue fish consumption advice. States are able to use contaminant data from EPA in their own FCAs.

3. How long has your program been in existence? 1980 - PRESENT

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

4. Have there been any significant changes in methods (analytical methods, tissue type, labs, etc.) over that period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**1976** The GLFMP begins through a cooperative agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory. The agreement documents the responsibilities of each agency, with GLNPO responsible for funding the chemical analyses and the GLFL responsible for collecting, processing and archiving the fish. The GLFMP begins monitoring lake trout (walleye in Lake Erie) for PCB's, DDT, dieldrin and oxychlordane in Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Erie. Lake trout from Lake Ontario are analyzed for PCBs, DDT and dieldrin. Whole fish are analyzed but instead of using individual fish (original protocol used by the GLFL), fish are grouped into composites. 60 fish are collected per site and sorted into three size categories. Within each size category, the fish are grouped into four composite samples consisting of five fish each. This is the first element of the GLFMP to be implemented and is later referred to as the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. PCBs are now quantified as arochlor 1254 only. DDT is total DDT and includes p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD. Fish are collected annually at two sites per lake.

**1977** Lake Huron is added to the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element of the GLFMP.

**1980** Two more elements are added to the GLFMP: Game Fish Fillet Monitoring and Emerging Problems in Harbors and Tributaries. For the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring element, samples are collected by the Great Lakes States annually and analyzed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Laboratory in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The arrangement is documented through cooperative agreements between GLNPO and the Great Lakes States and GLNPO and the USFDA. The parameter list includes arochlor 1242, arochlor 1248, arochlor 1254, arochlor 1260, total PCBs (sum of arochlors), p, p' - DDE, p,p' -DDD, p,p' - DDT, total DDT, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, dacthal, dieldrin, endrin, a BHC, lindane, pentachlorophenylmethyl ether, trans nonachlor, trans chlordane, cis chlordane, cis nonachlor, octachlor epoxide, total chlordane, heptachlor epoxide, mirex, and 8 monohydro-mirex. For the Emerging Problems in Harbors and Tributaries element, samples are collected regularly by the Great Lakes States and then analyzed by the US Environmental Protection Agency's Central Regional Laboratory.

**1982** Collection procedures are changed for the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. 50 fish are collected in each lake and whole fish are composited into five fish composites for a total of ten composite samples per lake. Lake trout collected are between 600 and 700 mm total length, and walleye are between 400 and 500 mm total length. Also, fish collections will now be conducted at each site every other year, rather than every

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

year. So we now have an even year site and an odd year site for each of the Great Lakes.

- 1986** Oxychlordane is now monitored in Lake Ontario. Also, the chlordane components cis and trans nonachlor and cis and trans chlordane are added to the Open Lakes Trend Monitoring parameter list. Toxaphene is also added to the list.
- 1989** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element is expanded to include the collection of 50 lake trout (or walleye, where there are no lake trout) and 50 smelt, from each of eleven locations – two in each of the Great Lakes and one in Lake St. Clair – every other autumn. The samples are analyzed for PCBs, DDT complex, dieldrin, chlordane, toxaphene, and mirex (Lake Ontario only).
- 1990** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element begins analyzing for PCB congeners, specifically congeners (IUPAC nos.) 22, 42, 52, 77, 85, 99, 101, 105, 110, 114, 118, 126, 128, 138, 149, 151, 156, 157, 177, 180, 183, 185, 189, and 195. Total PCBs are based on Arochlor 1254 and concentrations are determined by summing 12 congeners, which are easily quantifiable and representative of the chlorination levels, for both the standard and the samples. More information on the PCB calculations can be found in the QAPP, *Monitoring Trends of Selected PCB Congeners and Pesticides in Fish from the Great Lakes, 1991, 1992, and 1993*, and also in the GLEND database. Heptachlor epoxide is also added to the parameter list.
- 1994** The Open Lake Trend Monitoring element of the GLFMP adds many new analytes to its parameter list, including pentachlorobenzene, hexachlorobenzene, lindane, aldrin, octachlorostyrene, heptachlor epoxide-b, heptachlor epoxide-a, endrin, and the toxaphene homologues Cl6, Cl7, Cl8, Cl9, Cl10. Also, 80 PCB congeners will be analyzed. Total PCBs are calculated by summing the congeners.
- 1998** The USFDA is no longer able to participate in the GLFMP because the Minneapolis laboratory closes. The U.S. Geological Survey, Great Lakes Science Center (USGS/GLSC), formerly FWS, GLFL, agrees to process the fish from the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring element, along with the samples from the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element. The Great Lakes States are instructed to send their samples to the USGS/GLSC laboratory for processing. The game fish fillet composites are also analyzed in the same laboratory selected to analyze the open lake trend composites. The parameter lists are similar, although the game fish are still analyzed for a few chemicals not monitored in the Open Lake Trend Monitoring element, including alpha HCH, pentachlorophenyl methyl ether, dacthal and photo-mirex.
- 2001** The GLFMP conducts the “Workshop on Identifying Emerging Contaminants for Fish Contaminant Monitoring Programs.” The overall
-

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

purpose of the workshop is to provide a mechanism for updating the GLFMP contaminant list. The workshop results in the addition of PBDEs, PCNs, PCDD/Fs, PBB-153 and mercury to the routine monitoring list beginning with fish collected in the fall of the year 2000. Chemicals not added to the routine monitoring list, but considered potential candidates for future inclusion, are PFOS, TBBPA, SCCPs, APEs and chlorothalonil. The parameter lists for the two elements are now identical and include: PCB congeners, PCB co-planars, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene, octachlorostyrene, lindane, alpha-BHC, aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor epoxide a, heptachlor epoxide b, cis-chlordane, trans-chlordane, cis-nonachlor, trans-nonachlor, oxychlordane, pp, op-DDT, pp, op-DDE, pp,op-DDD, endrin, mirex, toxaphene and homologs, dacthal, PBDEs, PCNs, PCDD/Fs, PBB-153 and mercury.

- 2005 The GLFMP decides to drop certain chemicals that are no longer being detected in measurable concentrations in Great Lakes fish. The following chemicals are dropped beginning with the 2001 fish:  
pentachlorobenzene, aldrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide-a, o,p-DDT, o,p-DDE and o,p-DDE. Also, mirex is dropped in all lakes except Lake Ontario.

The GLFMP issues a Request for Proposals for the analyses of both the Open Lakes Trend Monitoring fish and the Game Fish Fillet Monitoring fish beginning with fish collected in the year 2004. Three of the previously routine analytes (PCNs, PBB-153 and dacthal) will no longer be measured every year, but rather will be included in the extended year program and analyzed once every five years. PFOS and other emerging chemicals will also be included in the extended year program, with the final list to be decided by the GLFMP steering committee.

5. What types of aquatic systems are monitored by your FCMP? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Great Lakes  
☐ Great Lakes connecting channels  
☐ Inland rivers  
☐ Inland lakes  
☐ Reservoirs or impoundments  
☒ Other (please describe)

River mouths meeting the Great Lakes

6. How frequently do you repeat monitoring at sites? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Every year  
☐ Fixed intervals (please describe)  
☐ Based on a randomized design  
☐ Case by case determination (if so, can you describe criteria)

---

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

\_\_\_\_\_ Other (please describe)

### Part II. Program Logistics and Mechanics

7. What non-chemical information is collected about the fish? (Please check all that apply)

☒ Total length  
\_\_\_\_\_ Standard length  
\_\_\_\_\_ Fork length  
☒ Weight  
☒ Age  
☒ Gender  
\_\_\_\_\_ Reproductive condition  
☒ Lipid level or fat content  
☒ Other (please describe)?

Any additional information that may be interesting at the time.

8. What species of fish are collected? (Please check all that apply)

_____ Lake Trout	_____ Carp
_____ Sicowet Lake Trout	_____ Yellow Perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Coho Salmon (even year only)	_____ Smallmouth Bass
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chinook Salmon (odd year only)	_____ Largemouth Bass
_____ Brown Trout	_____ White perch
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rainbow Trout (Lake Erie only)	_____ Smelt
_____ Walleye	_____ Alewife
	_____ Northern Pike
	_____ Other? (please list)

9. What tissue is collected? (Please check all that apply)

\_\_\_\_\_ Whole fish  
☒ Untrimmed fillet with skins  
\_\_\_\_\_ Trimmed fillet with skins  
\_\_\_\_\_ Untrimmed skinless fillets  
\_\_\_\_\_ Trimmed skinless fillets  
\_\_\_\_\_ Dorsal plugs (please describe the length, width and weight)  
\_\_\_\_\_ Other? (please elaborate)

10. Are individual or composite samples analyzed?

\_\_\_\_\_ Individual fish or fish tissues are analyzed.

☒ Tissue from more than one fish is combined into a composite sample.

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

If composite samples are analyzed, then which of the following factors are considered when combining tissues? (Please check all that apply)

- ☒ species
- ☐ age
- ☒ length
- ☐ weight
- ☒ collection date
- ☐ sample volume
- ☐ Other (please describe)



---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

11. What bioaccumulative chemicals of concern (BCC) are analyzed? What are the methods and quantification levels?

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Quantification Level</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PCBs		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aroclors		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congeners		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> o,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDT		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> p,p' DDD (TDE)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mirex		
<input type="checkbox"/> Photomirex		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\Sigma$ Chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ -chlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cis-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> trans-nonachlor		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oxychlordane		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxaphene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dieldrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Endrin		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Octachlorostyrene		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heptachlor epoxide		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\alpha$ BHC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $\delta$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> $\gamma$ BHC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Chemicals? Please list.		

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Analyte	MDL, ng/g
PCB congeners	0.002 – 1.0
PCB co-planars	0.002 – 1.0
Hexachlorobenzene	1
Octachlorostyrene	0.83
δ-BHC (Lindane)	0.606
α-BHC	4.7
Dieldrin	0.44
Heptachlor epoxide b	0.52
Cis-chlordane	1.814
Trans-chlordane	0.488
Cis-nonachlor	1.95
Trans-nonachlor	1.48
Oxychlordane	1.94
pp,-DDT	0.66
pp,-DDE	0.74
pp,-DDD	0.52
Endrin	2.86
Mirex (Lake Ontario only)	1.52
Toxaphene&homologs	24.6
PBDEs	0.001 – .10
Hg	0.521
Fraction lipid	5%
*PCDD/Fs	0.3 - 25
*PCNs	0.2 – 6.0
*Dacthal	1.0
*PBB-153	0.1 - .2
*PFOS	0.5

**\*A scan for specified list of additional analytes, such as perfluorinated compounds, musk fragrances, APEs, pharmaceuticals and other personal care products (pseudo-persistence), other flame retardants, etc., will be conducted for one year of analysis. The final analyte list for the extended program will be decided by the GLFMP steering committee.**

12. What is the minimum amount of homogenate needed to conduct analysis for your laboratory?

20 grams of sample

### Part III. Quality Control, Data Analysis, and Interpretation of Trends

13. Are the FCMP data used to evaluate temporal or spatial trends of BCC? If so, what if any methods are used to control for confounding factors that also affect concentrations of chemicals in fish over time and space. (Please check all that apply)

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

- ☒ Fish collected from the same location
- ☒ Same species of fish collected
- ☒ Same size of fish collected
- ☐ Same age of fish collected
- ☐ Same sex of fish collected
- ☒ Same collection time
- ☒ Lipid normalization
- ☐ Others? (please elaborate)

14. Are other data collected to augment or complement the interpretation of BCC in fish? For example, do you collect limnological data such as pH or organic carbon to help describe the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of BCC in fish?

Beginning in 2006, limnological data and organic information will be collected from the same locations as fish.

15. Are BCC data collected in other media (e.g., water column, sediments or biota) to complement the fish studies? If so, please describe.

No

16. Does your program archive tissues or extracts? If so, please describe this aspect of your program.

Yes, 2 sets individual composites are saved for each lake (1 reg. 1 PFOS). In addition, a "mega" composite is saved that is a homogenization of all composites collected from a single site.

17. How are temporal trends evaluated, by perusal of data or simply looking at graphs, formal statistical analyses, or some other methods? Please describe in detail. For example, if statistics are used, what statistical methods are used, what null hypotheses are assumed, what if any transformations are performed, etc.

Perusal of data and use of graphs. GLFMP grantee conducts additional statistical analysis when releasing results. Concentrations are compared to Protocol for Uniform Fish Consumption Advice categories.

18. Please think about the elements of your FCMP with respect to monitoring and detecting trends. What methods have worked well for deducing trends from time to time and space to space? What has not worked as well as expected?

### Part IV. Conclusion and Summary

19. Based on your experience, can you think of any important points or questions concerning interpretation of your fish contaminant data that are not covered by the questions above? Are there areas of uncertainty or factors that you think should be addressed by our analysis? If so, please list them and describe why you think they are important?

---

## FISH CONTAMINANT TREND MONITORING QUESTIONNAIRE

---

Need to revisit age v. length question and question of representitiveness.